Metacognitive awareness and oral proficiency in Eld. Context

: صمدانی بخش، آز اده، ۱۳۶۱ -

سرشناسه

Samedani bakhsh, Azadeh

/ Metacognitive awareness and oral proficiency in EFL context :

عنوان و نام پدیدآور

Azadeh Samedani bakhsh.

: تهران: سنجش و دانش، ۱۳۹۶ = ۲۰۱۷م.

مشخصات نشر

: ۱۰۳ص. ۲۳۲-۹۸۳-۷: مشخصات ظاهری شابک

1.....

وضعیت فه حت ا پسی

: انگلیسی،

یادداشت

متاكاگنيتيو...

أوانويسى عنوان

ربان انگلیسی -- راهنمای آموزشی (عالی)

موضوع

(English language -- Study and teaching (P.g. v:

موضوع موضوع

ربار اناریسی - فراگیری -- تحقیق -- Acquisition -- ResearchEnglic, 'ang

موضوع

17 SADE

رده بندی کنگره

TF/FTA:

رده بندی دیویی

FFYYTFF:

شماره کتابشناسی ملی

Metacognitive we reness and oral proficiency in EFL context

Azadeh samed, ni baknsh

مولف:

سارا با سنام في و دانش

ناشر:

۵۰ نسخه

تيراژ:

Jal. 1890

نیراز: نوبت چاپ:

974-5--- 777-947-7

وبت پاپ شایک:

۱۸۰۰۰ تومان

قیمت:

آدرس: خ دانشگاه – تقاطع روانمهر –ساختمان سنجش و دانش پ۱۲۶

***کلیه حقوق مادی و معنوی این اثر محفوظ می باشد. ***

Table of Contents

| Introduction | 1 |
|--|-------|
| Chapter one | 2 |
| Introduction | 2 |
| 1.3. Objectives of the study | 7 |
| 1.* Significance of the Study | 8 |
| 1.5 Research Question | 9 |
| 1.6 Hypotheses | 9 |
| 1.7 Theoretical framework | 10 |
| 1.8. Limitation of the study | 11 |
| 1.0 Delimitation of the study | 11 |
| 1.10. Definition of key terms | 11 |
| Chapter Two | 14 |
| Literature Review | 14 |
| 2.1. Introduction | 15 |
| 2.2 Language learning strategy | 15 |
| 2.3 Definition of metacognition | 18 |
| 2.4 Types of Metacognition | . 22 |
| -Metacognitive knowledge | . 23 |
| 7.4.1 Metacognitive knowledge | . 23 |
| 2.4.2 Metacognitive control and regu' tion. | . 28 |
| 2.5. The Role of Metacognitive A vare less 1 L 2 Learning | . 29 |
| 2.5.1. Metacognition and Learni g | . 30 |
| 2.5.2. Metacognitive knowle re a d success in language learning | . 32 |
| 2.6. The Importance of Metac, gr., 'e Awareness in Speaking | . 34 |
| 2.7 Research Studies on the Life at of Metacognitive Awareness in Different Skills | . 35 |
| 2.7.1 Metacognition and arming English | . 36 |
| 2.7.2. Metacognition no leading | . 38 |
| 2.7.3 Metacognition 1 Writing | . 39 |
| 2.7.4. Metacognition and Listening | . 39 |
| .2.7.5. Metacognition and Speaking | . 44 |
| Chapter Three. | . 46 |
| Methodology | . 46 |
| Introduction | . 47 |
| Design of the Study | . 47 |
| Participants | . 48 |
| Instruments | ., 49 |
| Rating policy | 53 |
| Data Collection Procedures | 54 |
| Data Analysis procedures | 54 |
| Conclusion | 55 |

| Chapter four | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Data Analysis | *************************************** |
| Introduction | |
| Descriptive Data | |
| Statistics on Two-tailed Tables | |
| Correlational data | |
| Answering Research questions | |
| Conclusion | |
| Chapter five | |
| Achievements and Implications | • |
| Introduction | |
| Summary of the Study | |
| Findings of the Study | |
| Pedagogical Implications of the study | |
| Suggestions for Further Studies | |
| Conclusion | |
| References | |
| Appendix | |
| | |

Abstract

The goal of the present research was to prove the effect of metacognitive awareness of a group of EFL learners in Jahad Daneshgahi Institute on their speaking level and skill. To this goal, research questions were designed and the literature of the subjects was examined. Moreover, the studies that were carried out before were discussed. The participants of the tudy were 72 students of pre-intermediate level who were studying in an institute in Kerman. The study used three instruments to collect the required data: a placement test, the Mr. Metacognitive Awareness Inventory test and the test of speaking. Metac m'uve Awareness Inventory contained 52 items including several subscales assessing knowledge of ognition (declarative knowledge, procedural knowledge, conditional knowledge) in regulation of knowledge (planning, information management strategies, monitoring, lebu ring strategies and evaluation). The participants were chosen regardless of their at 2, grader, and background knowledge. The results of the study revealed the idea that the EFL fear are used certain set of metacognitive strategies and in some cases the EFL learne spaking was affected by their metacognitive awareness strategies. Of the subcatego ies, comprehension monitoring was the most overused strategy by the subjects. Also in a m cases, meaningful relationship could be identified between the speaking level and the a recognitive awareness strategies.