

Islamic Azad University Science and Research University Damavand Branch

The Effect of Applying Teacher/Student baced vs Text-based Approach on the Teaching of English Vocabular,

ِ تاثیر روش معلم یا ناگرد خور در مقابل کتاب محور روی آموزش لغات ، ۲ پسی

R . ۷٤، na Kani رکسانا کنی دانشجوی کارشناسی ارشد رشت ، آ ررش زبان انگلیسی دانشگاه آزاد اسلامی واحد دماون

D. Trahim Sheikh Zadeh Marand دکتر ابراهیم شیخ زاده مرند عضو هیئت علمی دانشگاه آزاد اسلامی واحد دماوند

سرشناسه: کئی، رکسانا ۱۳۶۱

ateli و نام پدیدآور Kani, Roxana

The Effect of Applying Teacher/Student-based vs Text-based Approach on the Teaching of English Vocabulary

/ Roxana Kani, Ebrahim Sheikh Zadeh Marand.

.مشخصات نشر: تهران: اندیشه عصر

مشخصات ظاهری: رقعی، جدول ، نمودار

۹۷۸-۶۰۰-۴۲۱-۰۵۱-۵: حیات

وضعیت فهرست نویسی: فیها

بادداشت: انگلیسی۔ یادداشت: ص.ع به فارسی : تاثیر روش معلم یا شاگرد محور در مقابل کتاب محور روی آموزش آبات انگلیسی

اوانوب م عنوان : ذ افكت أو...

وع: زبان انگلیسی -- فراگیری-- تحقیق

شناسه افزوده :: شيخ زاده مرند، ابراهيم ، ١٣٥٣ -Sheikh Zadeh Marand, Ebrahim

ر بندی مودند ۱۳ شه ۱۳۱۱/PE ۱۳۱۱/۲۰۱۲ مرد بند دیویی: ۲۰، ۴۰

شماره کتابی ملی ۱۰۰ ۴۳

خیابان ستات نی خوال سرو شمالی،برج بهاران ، طبقه ده،واحد یک ۴۴۲۶۲۵۱۸ نفن: ۴۴۲۶۲۵۱۷ نمابر ۱۴، ۱۶۹۷۵۴۱ نمابر Email:VizheganEnglish@gm ناد س

* نام کتاب:

The Effect of App'vin, Teacher/Student-based vs Text-based Approach on the eaching of English Vocabulary تاثیر روش معلم یا شاگرد محور در مقابل حب مور روی آموزش لغات انگلیسی

*نویسندگان: رکسانا کنی- دکترابراهیم شیخ زاده مرند

«ویراستار: مؤسسه ویژگان شمارگان: ۲۰۰ نسخه

«ناشر: اندیشه عصر، ۶۶۴۲۰۴۶۱۷ « نوبت چاپ: چاپ اول۱۳۹۵

«قیمت: ۴۰۰۰ تومان « شابک: ۵-۵۱-۴۲۱ ومان

Content	Page Number
Abstract	9
Chapter 1: Introduction	
1.1 Introduction	
1.2. Background and Purpose	14
1.3 Statement of the Problem	
1.4 Significance of the Study	
1.5 Research Question	2
1.6 Null Hypothesis	22
1.7 Definition of Key Terms	23
1.8 Limitations and Delimitations of the Su	dy27
Chapter 2: Review of _ 'tera'	w e
2.1 Introduction	
2.2 The Importance of Vocabul r	32
2.3 Theoretical Concep	
2.4 Task-based Approach.	40
2.5 Advantages and Disadvan ages of Task	
Instruction	43
2.6 The Text-bosed Ap, roach	46
2.7 Implemening a ext-Based Approach.	55
2.8 The Be of t of Student-centered Appro	ach 60
2.9 Tercairs Benefs and Teaching Lexicon	62
2.10 Th Role of the Teacher	66
2 11 7 General Differences between Teach	
nd Student-centered Approach	
2.12 The Teacher's Responsibility in the	
Two Approaches	73

Š

2.12 The Demandality of the Chidents in the Two
2.13 The Responsibility of the Students in the Two
Learning Approaches76
2.14 Of the Two Teaching Approaches, Which is Better
and What Effect Has it on the Students' Proficiency?82
2.15 Characteristics of the Contemporary Student-centered
Learning Process
2.16 Vocabulary Acquisition in the Communicative
Classroom
2.17 Previous Studies of Collaborative Leaving and
Vocabulary Acquisition98
2.18 Task-Based Instruction and Vocabulary
Acquisition101
2.19 Empirical Studies of the Effect or Task based
Learning on Vocabulary A qui tion104
Domining on vocabally 11 que it on minimum.
Chapter : 1 dethouology
3.1 Introduction111
3.2 Participants
3.3 Instrumentation and Materials
2.4 Procedure
3.4 Procedure
3.5 Design
3.6 Statistica A. lyses121
*
Chapter 4 : Results and Discussion
4.1 n. duction
4.2 Reliability Statistics
4.3 Nelson Homogeneity Test Results
4.4 Testing Assumptions
4.5 Analysis of the Research Question
T.J Alialysis of the Research Question1JU

Chapter 5: Conclusions, Pedagogical Im	plication, and
Suggestions for further Resea	rch
5.1 Summary of the Findings and Results	139
5.2 Discussions	141
5.3 Conclusion	43
5.4 Implications	14
5.5 Suggestions for Further Research	
	\(\right\)
References:	151
Appendix A:	100
Appendix B:	100
Appendix C:	192
Appendix D:	202
Annendix E.	212
Appendix E:	215
appendix F:	21-
Appendix G:	010
Appendix H:	219

Abstract

The present study aimed at comparing the effect of applying teacher/student-based vs. text-based approach on the teaching of pre-intermediate English vocabulary to the Iranian EFL learners. The sample of the present study wa composed of 50 male and female EFL university studen. of English at the University of Applied Science, Branch 12 of Tehran, Iran. These participants age by vec 1 20 and 40 years old and they were chosen from ar ong 68 preintermediate EFL learners via Notes. They were nonrandomly divided into two experimental groups: A and B of 25 students each. A pre- st containing 40 vocabulary items was administered at the outset of the course. The researcher taught vocabulary items to the students in experiment. Froup A using teacher/student-based approach, but he participants in the experimental group B recei ed vocabulary instruction through text-based approach in 15 sessions of 90 minutes. At the end of the course, both groups took a post-test composing of 40 vocabulary items. T value and the significance level for

independent samples t-test (t(48) = 2.56, p = .01, p < .05) indicated that there is a significant difference in vocabulary scores for teacher/student-based and text-based groups on the post-test on the condition that the two groups had the same level of vocabulary knowledge on the pre-test (t(48) = .62, p = .53, p > .05). Hence, it was concluded that teacher/student-based approach is more effective than text-based approach to it provides the Iranian pre-intermediate EFL learners' Light. Vocabulary knowledge.

Key words: Teacher/stucent-based approach, Text-based approach, Proceediate vocabulary, Iranian EFL learners